# ASSET MANAGEMENT INVENTORY FOR CITY OF GALESBURG

Prepared By, / Asset Management Team
Joe VanDommelen, Michigan Rural Water Association

# Mission Statement for City of Galesburg Water System

We commit to improving and maintaining the public health protection and performance of our drinking water plant and distribution utility assets, while minimizing the long-term cost of operating those assets. We strive to make the most cost-effective renewal and replacement investments and provide the highest-quality customer service possible.



# ASSET MANAGEMENT PLAN

FOR

# CITY OF GALESBURG

### **SECTION**

### 1 **MEET THE CITY**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY LEVEL OF SERVICE

# 2 **RESERVE FUNDING**

RESERVE FUNDING APPLIED TO WATER RATE BUDGET
ASSET INVENTORY REPLACEMENT & REHABILIATION
ASSET INVENTORY REPLACEMENT & REHABILIATION FUNDING LEVEL

# 3 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN SUMMARY
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS LIST
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT DETAILS ITEMS LIST

# 4 SUMMARY OF ASSETS

SUMMARY ASSETS VALUE
SUMMARY ASSET AGES
SUMMARY CRITICAL ASSETS
WATER MAIN SUMMARY
WATER MAIN TIME LINE
VALVE SUMMARY
HYDRANT SUMMARY

# 5 **DETAILS OF ASSETS**

WELL 1 WELL 2 WATER TOWER

# 6 SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

WATER DISTRIBUTION LINES / MAINS LIST OF DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM VALVES CURB STOP SUMMARY

# Asset Management Plan CITY OF GALESBURG 2024

Current Population	2,049
Number of Water Department Employees	2.0
Number of Wells	2
Number of Water Towers	1
Capacity of Tower Gallons	500,000
Number of Standby Generators	1
Feet of Water Main	<b>74,</b> 816
Type of Treatment	Limited
Number of Fire Hydrants	139
Number of Distribution Valves	206

# **Level of Service**

# **CITY OF GALESBURG**

# **Level of Service Statement**

Level of Service (LOS) defines the way in which the utility stakeholders want the utility to perform over the long term. The LOS plan below was completed and should become a fundamental part of how the utility is operated, through the setting of practical goals for the City's water system.

Areas	GOALS / OBJECTIVES	LEVEL OF SERVICE
<u> </u>		
Safe Drinking Water	All federal and state water quality regulations will be met	Preform all required monitoring
Health, Safety	To provide a safe and injury free work place	Conduct regular safety meetings
		No MIOSHA safety violations
Security	Secure all water installations from break ins / intrusions'	Make sure all water installations have two barriers of security
Operator certification	Must have operator in charge & backup operator on staff	Have two certified water operators
		Each must have a S-3 & D-3 State Drinking Water Certifications
		The City will employee (3) three in the DPW / Water Dept, full time
Customer complaints	To provide excellent customer service	All customer complaints will be investigated within 2 business
		days of reporting the complaint.  Results of complaint will be
		reported to customer verbally, via the phone, in person, or in writing
Upcoming regulatory changes	Be aware of regulatory changes and comply with changes as they occur	Attend industry conferences and training sessions to stay abreast of changes and requirements
		Request annual meeting with local EGLE representative to insure compliance

Response time	To provide excellent customer service	Customer emergency response time within 2 hours
		Customers will receive written
		notice 24 hours in advance of any
		planned interruption in service.
	Have enough reserves to cover all	
Operating Reserves	anticipated major expenses and potential unexpected breakdowns	
Wells -Supply water	Maintain all well related equipment, focusing on preventative maintenance to prevent unscheduled breakdown	Inspections of wells on bi-annual basis by Well Specialist
		Documentation of all maintenance performed
		Documentation of all scheduled maintenance to be preformed
		List all aspect of wells in equipment maintenance fund that is adequately supported by rates (user fees)
		Source water pumps will be functional 99% of the time. Spare parts will be maintained to the extent possible to repair source water pumps quickly.
	Maintain all well buildings	Keep building painted, and clean
	-	
Alternative Power Source	Goals - all wells have a permanent generator or back up power? - or portable generator - generator service contract	Annual inspections of generators by outside professionals?
	Maintain all well buildings	Keep building painted, and clean
Quality Drinking Water		Comply with EGLE testing requirements
		Flush water mains (1) once per year

Distribution System	Maintain system, pipes, valves, hydrants to insure that all are in good working condition, and that money is set aside to replace as needed.	
	Distribution System Valves	Exercise water valves on rotating 5 year program. To insure all valves are exercised every five years.
		Provide adequate manpower to perform valve exercise program
		Have written documentation of valve exercising
		Insure that %?? of valves function properly
	Fire Hydrants	Insure that % ?? Of fire hydrants are in good working order
	Water Main Flushing	Flush water mains (1) once per year
		Provide adequate manpower to perform water main flushing
		Have written documentation of water main / hydrant flushing
		Under normal conditions, pressures will be maintained between 30 and 70 psi.
	General System Maintenance	Insure water rates and budget are adequate to provide manpower to perform valve exercising, hydrant maintenance, water main flushing and replacement if necessary
	Water loss will be maintained below 12%	

SUMMARY RESERVE FUNDING APPLIED TO WATER RATE BUDGET

2016

TYPE OF RESERVE FUND	ANNUAL FUNDING	STARTING BALANCE IN SAVINGS
EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT 1	\$50,000	\$0
TOTAL MAINTENANCE RESERVE	\$50,000	\$0
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT SUMMARY	\$65,000	\$ -
TOTAL SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT RESERVE	<b>\$65,000</b>	\$0
TOTAL ANNUAL RESERVE	\$115,000	\$0

THE RUNNING BALANCE GOES TO THE NEGATIVE QUICKLY. FIRST THE RATE STUDY THAT CALCULATED THE RESERVE FUNDING AMOUNTS WAS DONE BEFORE THE MOST RECENT CIP WAS COMPLETED AND ADDED PROJECTS TO THE LIST IN THE RATE STUDY. THE SECOND REASON IS THE UPDATED PRICING IN THE NEW CIP IS HIGHER THAN WHAT THE THE OLD CIP HAD FOR PRICING. A NEW RATE STUDY WILL BE COMPLETED USING THE NEW CIP AND PRICING WHEN THE 2024/2025 BUDGET IS COMPLETED.

YEAR	EQUIPMENT RE	EPLACEMENT 1	CAPITAL IMPROVI	CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT SUMMARY		SUMMARY ANNUAL EXPENDITURES	RESERVE RUNNING BALANCE
	ANNUAL FUNDING	ANNUAL EXPENDITURES	ANNUAL FUNDING	ANNUAL EXPENDITURES			
2023	\$50,000	\$0	\$65,000	\$0	\$115,000	\$ -	\$180,050
2024	\$50,000	\$28,875	\$65,000	\$0	\$115,000	\$ 28,875.00	(\$51,457)
2025	\$50,000	\$5,500	\$65,000	\$317,682	\$115,000	\$ 323,182.00	(\$78,136)
2026	\$50,000	\$193,200	\$65,000	\$136,250	\$115,000	\$ 329,450.00	(\$156,220)
2027	\$50,000	\$18,000	\$65,000	\$0	\$115,000	\$ 18,000.00	(\$59,247)
2028	\$50,000	\$6,250	\$65,000	\$0	\$115,000	\$ 6,250.00	(\$500,255)
2029	\$50,000	\$9,750	\$65,000	\$549,762	\$115,000	\$ 559,512.00	(\$394,956)
2030	\$50,000	\$57,375	\$65,000	\$0	\$115,000	\$ 57,375.00	(\$337,242)
2031	\$50,000	\$32,200	\$65,000	\$0	\$115,000	\$ 32,200.00	(\$258,044)
2032	\$50,000	\$89,900	\$65,000	\$3,683	\$115,000	\$ 93,583.00	(\$232,845)
2033	\$50,000	\$7,500	\$65,000	\$0	\$115,000	\$ 7,500.00	(\$300,098)
2034	\$50,000	\$42,625	\$65,000	\$174,813	\$115,000	\$ 217,437.67	(\$227,621)
2035	\$50,000	\$74,800	\$65,000	\$0	\$115,000	\$ 74,800.00	(\$187,311)
2036	\$50,000	\$37,950	\$65,000	\$0	\$115,000	\$ 37,950.00	(\$146,068)
2037	\$50,000	\$0	\$65,000	\$35,892	\$115,000	\$ 35,891.64	(\$30,971)
2038	\$50,000	\$0	\$65,000	\$0	\$115,000	\$ -	\$84,176
2039	\$50,000	\$22,500	\$65,000	\$0	\$115,000	\$ 22,500.00	\$79,206
2040	\$50,000	\$16,650	\$65,000	\$97,667	\$115,000	\$ 114,317.25	\$177,781
2041	\$50,000	\$62,700	\$65,000	\$0	\$115,000	\$ 62,700.00	(\$36,249)
2042	\$50,000	\$0	\$65,000	\$266,588	\$115,000	\$ 266,588.36	\$78,998
2043	\$50,000	\$20,000	\$65,000	\$0	\$115,000	\$ 20,000.00	\$326,631
2044	\$50,000	\$25,625	\$65,000	\$0	\$115,000	\$ 25,625.00	\$351,332
2045	\$50,000	\$21,000	\$65,000	\$0	\$115,000	\$ 21,000.00	\$380,684
2046	\$50,000	\$318,200	\$65,000	\$0 J	\$115,000	\$ 318,200.00	\$112,864
2047	\$50,000	\$147,400	\$65,000	\$0	\$115,000	\$ 147,400.00	\$15,577
2048	\$50,000	\$48,938	\$65,000	\$0	\$115,000	\$ 48,937.50	\$16,655
2049	\$50,000	\$5,750	\$65,000	\$0 I	\$115,000	\$ 5,750.00	\$60,922
2050	\$50,000	\$0	\$65,000	\$0	\$115,000	\$ -	\$110,983
TOTALS	s i		i i		\$3,220,000	\$2,875,024	

GAIN OF \$344,976

BASED ON CURRENT KNOWN EXPENSES

Water Storage	Maintain water tower for longer life span	Professionally Inspect every five years for integrity
		Complete all maintenance as suggested in inspection reports
		Insure Rates and Budget are adequate to support major maintenance actives (painting) as recommended through inspection process
		All storage requirements will be met as indicated under EGLE Reliability Study Guidelines
Administrative	Provide excellent customer service	Follow up on all complaints to
Administrative	Insure customers bills are accurate	insure a finite outcome Review any discrepancy
Financial	Be financially solvent & operate water system in the black including reserve funding	VASTE
		Insure adopted annual budget includes results of asset management program
		Maintain XX dollars in reserve accounts at all times
Rules and Regulations	Monitor & enforce	
raics and regulations	World & Gridge	Update & review rules annually - Cross connections, Site sampling plan, Required Lab analysis, Consumer confidence report, Safety program,
Cross Connections	Annually review cross connection progam and update as needed Comply with adopted ordinance	Perform inspections as required with in house staff
		Attend seminars to keep staff up- to-date with any changes in rule.

# Galesburg Water EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT SHORT LIVED ASSETS

SHORT LIVED ASSETS SOMEWHERE BETWEEN 0-15 OR 20 YEARS

YOUR ANTICIPATED NORMAL INTENDED USEFUL LIFE OR YEARS BETWEEN REHAB SHOULD BE BASED ON PAST MAINTENANCE HISTORY, WELL MAINTENANCE RECORDS AND WATER TOWER INSPECTION REPORTS. - A COPY OF THESE REPORTS SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN YOUR RATE EVALUATION AS AN ATTACHMENT OR APPENDIX -- ALSO NOTE; IF YOU ARE APPLYING FOR A USDA URRAL DEVELOPMENT GRANT OR HOPE TO GET A USDA GRANT - THE REMAINING YEARS OF LIFE FOR ANY EQUIPMENT CAN NOT EXCEED 15 YEARS. FOR ANYTHING - WATER METERS WATER TOWER PAINTING ETC. ONCE THE USEFUL LIFE OR NEXT ANTICIPATED MAINTENANCE IS LESS THAN 15 YEARS AWAY IT CAN BE LISTED HERE,

						ISTED HERE,			
FIXED ASSET INVENTORY			ASSET REPLACEMENT SCHEDULE						
EQUIPMENT LIST / MAINTENANCE ACTIVITY	DESCRIPTION / MAINTENANCE HISTORY	ORIGINAL INSTALLATION YEAR OR LAST REHABYEAR	ESTIMATED NORMAL INTENDED USEFUL LIFE	CURRENT AGE	NEXT ANTICIPATED REPLACEMENT YEAR	REMAINING LIF E- YEARS BEFORE REPLACEMENT	TOTAL REPLACEMENT COST	PERCENT OF ASSET LEFT	REPLACEMENT MONI RESERVED ANNUALL
WELL 1	In stalled 1948	12220		10050	1500	FI THE			
WELL 1 PUMP		2015	20	8	2035	12	\$20,000	6 0%	\$1,000
WELL 1 MOTOR	5 Ohjanot or	1994	3 0	29	2024	1	\$20,000	3%	\$667
WELL 1 CONTROL PANEL		2006	30	17	2036	13	\$5,000	43%	\$167
ທີ່ທັຍຟິກ BUILDIÑG - Oir ig inabluild ingbuilt 1948, add it iannid eidn 2023	Roof, doors, windows, heaters, etc.	2023	20	0	2043	20	\$10,000	1 00%	\$500
WELL1 PRING & VALVES		2006	50	17	2056	3 3	\$10,000	66%	\$200
WELL 1 MASTER METER		2023	17	0	2040	17	\$9,000	1 00%	\$529
WELL 1 CLEANING		2017	15	6	2032	9	\$17,000	6 0%	\$1,133
CHEMICAL FEED SYSTEM		2023	2	0	2025	2	\$5,000	1 00%	\$2,500
VFD		2 017	15	6	2032	9	\$20,000	6 0%	\$1,333
WELL 2	In stall ett957	-							
WELL 2 PUMP		2014	20	9	2034	11	\$20,000	55%	\$1,000
WELL 2 MOTOR		2 014	30	9	2044	21	\$10,000	7 0%	\$333
WELL 2 CONTROL PANEL		2006	20	17	2026	3	\$15,000	15 %	\$750
WELL 2 BUILDING	Roof ,door s,window, et c.	2 007	20	16	2027	4	\$10,000	2 0%	\$500
WELL 2 PIPING &VALVES		200 7	5 0	16	2057	34	\$10,000	68%	\$200
WELL 2 MASTER METER		2021	30	2	2051	28	\$9,000	93%	\$300
CHLORINE FEED SYSTEM		2022	2	1	2024	1	\$5,000	5 %	\$2,500
WELL 2 CLEANING		2 021	5	2	2026	3	\$17,000	6 0%	\$3,400
/FD		2017	15	6	2032	9	\$20,000	6 0%	\$1,333
WATER TOWER - BURGESS OR	Installed 206, cost \$1.6M								
VATER TOWER EXTERIOR PAINTING		2006	20	17	2026	3	\$50,000	1 5%	\$2,500
VATER TOWER INTERIOR PAINTING	WET	2006	20	17	2026	3	\$50,000	1 5%	\$2,500
VATER TOWER INSPECTION		2 019	5	4	2024	1	\$2,500	20 %	\$500
VATER TOWER CONTROLS		2006	20	17	2026	3	\$15,000	1 %	\$750
ATHOTIC PROTECTION		2 019	20	4	2039	16	\$10,000	8 %0	\$500
ORTABLE GENERATOR	split 75% water and 25% sewer	1995	35	28	2030	7	\$37,500	20 %	\$1,071
	2070 001761	Taylory L							
APPING MACHINE		1965	8 0	58	2045	22	\$10,000	28 %	\$125
				ĺ				1	

# Galesburg Water

EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT SHORT LIVED ASSETS

2023

SHORT LIVED ASSETS SOMEWHERE BETWEEN 0-15 OR 20 YEARS

YOUR ANTICIPATED NORMAL INTENDED USEFUL LIFE OR YEARS BETWEEN REHAB SHOULD BE BASED

YOUR ANTICIPATED NORMAL INTENDED USEFUL LIFE OR YEARS BETWEEN REHAB SHOULD BE BASED! ON PAST MAINTENANCE HISTORY, WELL MAINTENANCE RECORDS AND WATER TOWER INSPECTION REPORTS. -A COPY OF THESE REPORTS SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN YOUR RATE EVALUATION AS AN ATTACHMENT OR APPENDIX --- ALSO NOTE; IF YOU ARE APPLYING FOR A USDA RURAL DEVELOPMENT GRANT OR HOPE TO GET A USDA GRANT - THE REMAINING YEARS OF LIFE FOR ANY EQUIPMENT CAN NOT EXCEED 15 YEARS. FOR ANYTHING -WATER METERS WATER TOWER PAINTING ETC. ONCE THE USEFUL LIFE OR NEXT ANTICIPATED MAINTENANCE IS LESS THAN 15 YEARS AWAY IT CAN BE LISTED HERE,

FIXED ASSET INVENTORY		ASSET REPLACEMENT SCHEDULE							
EQUIPMENT LIST / MAINTENANCE ACTIVITY	DESCRIPTION / MAINTENANCE HISTORY	ORIGINAL INSTALLATION YEAR OR LAST REHAB YEAR	ESTIMATED NORMAI. INTENDED USEFUL LIFE	CURRENT AGE	NEXT ANTICIPATED REPLACEMENT YEAR	REMAINING LIFE - YEARS BEFORE REPLACEMENT	TOTAL REPLACEMENT COST	PERCENT OF ASSET LEFT	REPLACEMENT MONEY RESERVED ANNUALLY
VATER METERS - 675 3/4" METERS @ 200 EACH REPLACEMENT COST ast wholesale meter changout done in 2006. lew plan is to replace 10% per year instead of notifier witholesale meter changeout.	METERS LAST AN AVERAGE OF 20 YEARS - ESTIMATE AVERAGE METER AT 10 YRS OLD - SOME NEWER SOME OLDER								1, and
VATER METERS - LARGER SIZES - 80 ARGER METERS FRM 1" - 4" - = 139 METER QUIVALENETS @ \$200 PER METER QUIVALENT	METERS LAST AN AVERAGE OF 20 YEARS - ESTIMATE AVERAGE METER AT 10 YRS OLD - SOME NEWER SOME OLDER								
HAND HELD METER READER and BILLING COFTWARE		2011	15	12	2026	3	\$15,000	20%	\$1,000
RELIABILITY STUDY / GENERAL PLAN		2021	5	2	2026	3	\$1,000	60%	\$200
CADA	split 75% to water and 25% to sewer-total cost for SCADA upgrades in 2022 was about \$29000	2022	13	1	2035	12	\$21,750	92%	\$1,673
							\$444,750	51%	\$ 29,166

LQUIP	MENT REPLACEMENT SHORT LIVE	COST OF LIVIN		
	5.00% \$0			
	0.10%			
	ANNUAL COLA ADJUSTMENT AS AD	OPTED BY COUNCIL	0.00%	
N. S	Control of the Contro	lied to Budget / Rates		1
YEAR	PURPOSE	ANNUAL REPLACEMENT EXPENDITURES WITH C.O.L.	FUNDING WITH COLA	RUNNING BALANCE
2023	SEE EQUIPMENT LIST FOR DETAILS OF	\$0	\$50,000	\$50,050
2024	ANTICIPATED PURCHASES	\$28,875	\$50,000	\$71,225
2025		\$5,500	\$50,000	\$115,796
2026		\$193,200	\$50,000	(\$27,288)
2027		\$18,000	\$50,000	\$4,685
2028		\$6,250	\$50,000	\$48,439
2029		\$9,750	\$50,000	\$88,738
2030		\$57,375	\$50,000	\$81,452
2031		\$32,200	\$50,000	\$99,333
2032		\$89,900	\$50,000	\$59,532
2033		\$7,500	\$50,000	\$102,092
2034		\$42,625	\$50,000	\$109,569
2035		\$74,800	\$50,000	\$84,879
2036		\$37,950	\$50,000	\$97,014
2037		\$0	\$50,000	\$147,111
2038		\$0	\$50,000	\$197,258
2039		\$22,500	\$50,000	\$224,955
2040		\$16,650	\$50,000	\$258,530
2041		\$62,700	\$50,000	\$246,088
2042		\$0	\$50,000	\$296,334
2043	2016 Copy	\$20,000 <sub>52.5 F</sub> 0	เบล็ล็ยเครียก	<sub>F 1</sub> \$326,631

EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT SHORT LIVED ASSETS - RUNNI	NG BALAN	NCE SHEET
COST OF LIVIN	IG INCREASE	5.00%
CURRENT RESERVE BALANCE APPLIED TO THIS ACCOUNT - INCLUDES	\$0	
AVG. INTEREST RATE	0.10%	
ANNUAL COLA ADJUSTMENT AS ADOPTED BY COUNCIL		
		\$0

Galesburg Water 2023

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS SUMMARY

PERCENT DOWNPAYMENT

10%

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT IDEAS WERE GENERATED FROM

PREIN & NEWHOF CIP AND PREVIOUS CIP DONE IN 2017 BY A DIFFERENT ENGINEERING COMPANY. PREIN CIP IS PICTURED BELOW. ALL PROJECTS FROM THE 2017 CIP NOT INCLUDED IN THE NEW CIP HAVE 10 YEARS ADDED TO THE COMPLETION YEAR.

MRWA DOES NOT RECOMMEND OR SUGGEST FINANCING OPTIONS. IT IS UP TO THE COMMUNITY AND THEIR ADVOCATES TO DECIDE WHAT FINANCING OPTIONS WORK BEST FOR THEM AND THEIR FUTURE PROJECTS.

ENTER ITEMS ON THIS PAGE FIRST - THEN SEE INDIVIDUAL PAGES FOR ENTERING DETAILS ON EACH PROJECT -

CAPITAL PROJECTS - FOR DETAILS OF EACH PROJECT SEE INDIVIDUAL PAGES	ESTIMATED PROJECT COST	CASH CONTRIBUTION FROM RATES	AMOUNT FINANCED	COMPLETE IN YEAR OF	IS THIS YEAR FLEXIBLE?	MONEY NEEDED ANNUALLY
BLAKE WWW BEBRACEMENT	\$489,000	\$48,900	\$440,100	2029		\$8,150
BATTLE CREEK MAIN REPLACEMENT - 35TH TO GROVE	\$2,440,000	\$244,000	\$2,196,000	2029		\$40,667
REPLACE PORTABLE GENERATOR	\$29,000	\$2,900	\$26,100	2032		\$322
5-WAY INTERSECTION MAIN REPLACEMENT	\$796,000	\$79,600	\$716,400	2029		\$13,267
GROVE MAIN REPLACEMENT	\$562,000	\$56,200	\$505,800	2029		\$9,367
M-96 MAIN REPLACEMENT - GRANT TO NORMS PLACE	\$358,390	\$35,839	\$322,551	2034		\$3,258
NORMS PLACE - M-96 TO BECKWITH MAIN REPLACEMENT	\$620,000	\$62,000	\$558,000	2034		\$5,636
NEW AND E. BATTLE CREEK MAIN REPLACEMENT	\$1,270,000	\$127,000	\$1,143,000	2025		\$63,500
M-96 MAIN REPLACEMENT - BURGESS EAST PAST 12" MAIN	\$335,991	\$33,599	\$302,392	.2034		\$3,054
CLOSE LOOP AT MOREHOUSE	\$252,758	\$25,276	\$227,482	2037		\$1,805
CLOSE LOOR FROM 35TH TO 36T년 STREETS	\$1,540,000	\$154,000	\$1,386,000	2025		\$77,000
CLOSE LOOP FROM 36TH TO 37TH STREETS	\$1,698,015	\$169,802	\$1,528,214	2042		\$8,937
INSTALL PERMANENT NATURAL GAS GENERATOR AT WELLS	\$160,000	\$16,000	\$144,000	2025		\$8,000
ADD NEW WELL	\$646,803	\$64,680	\$582,123	2040		\$3,805
CHURCH ST. MAIN EXTENSION	\$372,000	\$37,200	\$334,800	2029		\$6,200
INSTALL MIXER IN WATER TOWER	\$27,000	\$2,700	\$24,300	2025		\$1,350
			\$0			\$0
REPLACE LEAD SERVICE LINES - amount depends on verification of actual replacements	\$1,250,000	\$125,000	\$1,125,000	2026		\$41,667
	Towns:		\$0			\$0
	- AND	16	\$0			\$0
п			\$0			\$0
AMP 2016 Copy	\$12,846,957	\$1,284,696	\$11,562,261	56 CAPITA	LIMPROVEME	\$295,985 NT SUMMARY

### CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT RUNNING BALANCE PROJECTIONS & ANNUAL FUNDING

ANNUAL COST OF LIVING INCREASE TO PROJECTS

7 RESERVE BALANCE APPLIED TO CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS

AVG. INTEREST RATE IN SAVINGS

15 AN ANNUAL COLA RATE ADJUSTMENT PERCENT OF

0.00%

RUNNING BALANCE COLUMN REFLECTS THIS FUND ONLY. THE AVERAGE ANNUAL FUNDING DOES NOT REFLECT ANY EXTRA REVENUE GENERATED BY FUTURE ANNUAL RATE INCREASES. FOR A TRUE RUNNING BALANCE OF THE OVERALL HEALTH OF THE WATER SYSTEM SEE THE 10 YEAR PROJECTIONS PAGE.

YEAR	ANNUAL EXPENDITURES	AVERAGE ANNUAL FUNDING AMOUNT IN RATE BUDGET	RUNNING BALANCE
2023	\$0	\$ <u>65,000</u>	\$65,000
2024	\$0	\$65,000	\$130,000
2025	\$317,682	\$65,000	(\$122,682)
2026	\$136,250	\$65,000	(\$193,932)
2027	\$0	\$65,000	(\$128,932)
2028	\$0	\$65,000	(\$63,932)
2029	\$549,762	\$65,000	(\$548,694)
2030	\$0	\$65,000	(\$483,694)
2031	\$0	\$65,000	(\$418,694)
2032	\$3,683	\$65,000	(\$357,377)
2033	\$0 \$65,000		(\$292,377)
2034	\$174,813	\$65,000	(\$402,190)
2035	\$0	\$65,000	(\$337,190)
2036	\$0	\$65,000	(\$272,190)
2037	\$35,892	\$65,000	(\$243,081)
2038	\$0	\$65,000	(\$178,081)
2039	\$0	\$65,000	(\$113,081)
2040	\$97,667	\$65,000	(\$145,749)
2041	\$0	\$65,000	(\$80,749)
2042	\$266,588	\$65,000	(\$282,337)
2043	\$0	\$65,000	(\$217,337)

# CITY OF GALESBURG WATER SYSTEM ASSETS 2024

# WATER SYSTEM ASSETS ESTIMATED VALUE

	REPLACEMENT	AVERAGE PERCENT
	COST	OF LIFE LEFT
WATER DISTRIBUTION MAINS	\$13,418,920	59%
WATER DISTRIBUTION VALVES	\$447,239	50%
FIRE HYDRANTS	\$924,096	48%
WELLS, STORAGE, METERS, CURB STOPS ETC	\$3,277,746	54%
TOTALS	\$18,068,001	53%

This table shows the estimated value of the entire water system, excluding the land / property. This estimated replacement cost is in today's dollars.

### 2024

# ASSET TIME LINE

AGE OF PIPE -YEARS	0-10	11-20'	21-30'	31-40'	41-50'	51-60'	61-70'	71-80	TOTALS
FEET OF PIPE	1,898	13,989	21,243	17,100	0	5,346	14,040	1,200	74,816
PERCENT OF PIPE	3%	19%	28%	23%	0%	7%	19%	2%	100%
ESTIMATED REPLACEMENT COST TODAY	\$340,423	\$2,509,053	\$3,810,122	\$3,067,038	\$0	\$958,853	\$2,518,200	\$215,231	13,418,920
# DISTRIBUTION VALVES	0	33	40	13	0	8	51	1	146
# DISTRIBUTION VALVES		33	40	13	0		31		140
PERCENT OF VALVES	0%	23%	27%	9%	0%	5%	35%	1%	100%
# FIRE HYDRANTS	0	26	23	53	0	6	17	13	139
PERCENT OF HYDRANTS	0%	19%	17%	38%	0%	4%	12%	9%	100%
WELLS						1	1		
					-				
TOWER	1								

# CITY OF GALESBURG WATER SYSTEM SUMMARY OF CRITICAL ASSETS

	2024							1	
			NOTES	BUSINESS RISK EXPOSURE TO	INSTALLATION	USEFUL	REMAINING	REPLACE IN	FEFCENT OF
	ASSET NAME	LOCATION	DESCRIPTION	A COMPONENT OR THE ENTIRE SYSTEM	YEAR	LIFE	LIFE	YEAR OF	ALSET LEFT
	WELL 1	130 DIVISION ST	ONE OF TWO WELLS ON SYSTEM -	ENTIRESYSTEM	1948	75	-1	2023	-1%
	WELL2	130 DIVISION ST	750 GPM ONE OF TWO WELLS ON SYSTEM -	ENTIRE SYSTEM	1957	75	8	2032	11%
	WATER TOWER BURGESS DR	BURGESS DR	750 GPM ONLY WATER TOWER IN TOWN	ENTIRE SYSTEM	2006	90	72	2096	80%
	,		500,000 GALLONS CONTROLS WATER TOWER LEVEL		2006	30	,,,	2030	00%
	CONTROL SYSTEM	WELLS & WATER TOWER	AND WHEN PUMPS TURN ON & OFF	ENTIRE SYSTEM	2006	20	2	2026	10%
	PORTABLEGENERATOR	KEPT IN DPW GARAGE	ONLY BACKUP SOURCE OF POWER FOR WELLS	ENTIRE SYSTEM	1995	35	6	2030	17%
	•								
	CRITICAL VALVES		LINE SIZE / VALVE SIZE						
	145-005-015-1	35TH IN FRT OF 411	8" line	FEEDS NURSING HOME	1958	80	22	2038	28%
	040-1	WELL FEED & OLD WATER	8	FEEDS FROM WELL	1958	80	22	2038	28%
******	040-3	TOWER WELL FEED & OLD WATER	10	FEEDS FROM WELL	1958	80	22	2038	28%
	040-3	TOWER WELL FEED & OLD WATER	8	FEEDS FROM WELL	1958	80	22	2038	28%
1		TOWER 35TH FEEDING NURSING	4	FEEDS NURSING HOME	1973	80	37	2053	46%
-	145-5-1	HOME	10	FEEDS NURSING HOME	1973	80	37	2053	46%
l	145-1-1	35TH ST		FEEDS NURSING HOME	1973	80	37	2053	46%
١	145-2-1	35TH ST	10	FEEDS NURSING HOME	1973	80	37	2053	46%
	145-2-2	35TH ST	10		1973	80	37	2053	46%
l	145-4-1	35TH ST	10	FEEDS NURSING HOME			37	2053	46%
١	145-3-1	35TH ST APARTMENTS	6	FEEDS APT COMPLEX	1973	80	3/	2033	
1	AMBLINGAVE 1	AMBLING AVE 1	8	FEEDS SCHOOLS	2003	80	67	2084	84%
	LOOP HIGH SCHOOL 1	LOOP HIGH SCHOOL 1	8	FEEDS SCHOOLS	2003	80	67	2084	84%
	LOOP HIGH SCHOOL 2	LOOP HIGH SCHOOL 2	8	FEEDS SCHOOLS	2003	80	67	2084	84%
ĺ	LOOP HIGH SCHOOL 3	LOOP HIGH SCHOOL 3	8	FEEDS SCHOOLS	2003	80	67	2084	84%
	LOOP HIGH SCHOOL 4	LOOP HIGH SCHOOL 4	8	FEEDS SCHOOLS	2003	80	67	2084	84%
	LOOP HIGH SCHOOL S	LOOP HIGH SCHOOL S	8	FEEDS SCHOOLS	2003	80	67	2084	84%
	LOOP HIGH SCHOOL 6	LOOP HIGH SCHOOL 6	8	FEEDS SCHOOLS	2003	80	67	2084	84%
ı	BURGESS DR 1	BURGESS DR 1	12	FEEDS WATER TOWER	2006	80	70	2086	88%
-	BURGESS DR 2	BURGESS DR 2	12	FEEDS WATER TOWER	2006	80	70	2086	88%
	BURGESS DR 3	BURGESS DR 3	12	FEEDS WATER TOWER	2006	80	70	2086	88%
	BURGESS DR 4	BURGESS DR 4	12	FEEDS WATER TOWER	2006	80	70	2086	88%
	BURGESS DR 5	BURGESS DR S	12	FEEDS WATER TOWER	2006	80	70	2086	88%
	BURGESS DR 6	BURGESS DR 6	12	FEEDS WATER TOWER	2006	80	70	2086	88%
	BURGESS DR 7	BURGESS DR 7	12	FEEDS WATER TOWER	2006	80	70	2086	88%
	BURGESS DR 8	BURGESS DR 8	12	FEEDS WATER TOWER	2006	80	70	2086	88%
	CRITICAL WATER MAINS								
	3STH ST - FRM W MICHG NORTH TO CITY	4250 FT	10	FEEDS NURSING HOME	1973	90	43	2063	52%
	LIMITS BURGESS DR - FRM - BATTLE CREEK TO	3063 FT	12	FEEDS WATER TOWER	2006	90	10	2096	89%
	NORTH CITY LIMITS EASTBATTLE CREEK - DRM MCCULLUM TO	700 FT	12	FEEDS SCHOOLS					
	37TH ST				2003	90	13	2093	86%
	SCHOOL - FRONT OF SCHOOL PARKING LOT	200FT	8	FEEDS SCHOOLS	2003	90	13	2093	86%
						I		·	'

# WATER DISTRIBUTION PIPE SUMMARY

# **ESTIMATED REPLACEMENT COST OF PIPE**

PIPE SIZE	\$ PER FOOT
2"	\$154
4"	\$154
6"	\$154
8"	\$146
10"	\$350
12"	\$210
16"	\$346

MOST LIKELY EXISTING 2" & 4" PIPE WILL BE
REPLACED WITH 6" OR GREATER DIAMETER PIPE
PRICE PER FOOT DOES NOT INCLUDE HYDRANTS OR VALVES

VALVES & HYDRANTS ARE LISTED ON SEPARATE PAGE
PRICES ARE FROM CITY OF REED CITY RELIABILITY STUDY 2014
- WITH COST OF LIVING ADDED IN.

WATER DISTRIBUTION PIPE SUMMARY								
PERCENT BASED ON SIZE								
		PERCENT OF	REPLACEMENT					
PIPE SIZE	FEET OF PIPE	TOTAL	COST					
4	1,200	2%	\$184,800					
6	14,316	19%	\$2,204,664					
8	31,731	42%	\$4,632,726					
10	4,250	6%	\$1,487,500					
12	23,229	31%	\$4,878,090					
16	90	0%	\$31,140					
TOTAL	74,816	<b>74,816</b> 100%						
	AVERAGE COST PER F	\$179.36						

WATER DISTRIBUTION PIPE SUMMARY						
PERCENT BASED ON TYPE OF PIPE						
TYPE OF PERCENT OF						
PIPE	FEET OF PIPE TOTAL					
DUCTILE IRON	54,830	73%				
CAST IRON	19,986	27%				
		0%				
TOTAL	74,816	100%				

CURRENT YEAR	2024		T				
WATER DISTRIBUTION							
PERCENT BASED ON A							
DATE OF							
INSTALLATION	LENGTH	AGE OF PIPE	PERCENT OF TOTAL				
1948	1,200	76	2%				
1958	14,040	66	19%				
19 <b>7</b> 3	5,346	51	7%				
1989	9,400	35	13%				
1990	7,700	34	10%				
1994	500	30	1%				
1995	8,963	29	12%				
1996	1,280	28	2%				
2003	10,500	21	14%				
2006	13,389	18	18%				
2008	600	16	1%				
2023	1,898	1	3%				
TOTAL	74,816		100%				

Weighted avg. pipeline age 36
Length x age for each year, then divided by total length
AVERAGE PERCENT OF LIFE LEFT 60%

### **WATER DISTRIBUTION PIPE - TIME LINE SUMMARY**

WATER DISTRIBUTION FIFE - 1	2							
AGE OF PIPE -YEARS	0-10	11-20'	21-30'	31-40'	41-50'	51-60'	61-70'	TOTALS
YEAR INSTALLED	2023	2006	1995'	1989	1973	1958	1948	
FEET OF PIPE	1,898	13,989	28,943	9,400	5,346	14,040	1,200	74,816
PERCENT OF TOTAL	3%	19%	39%	13%	7%	19%	2%	
REPLACEMENT YEARS	2100 - 2091	2090 - 2081	2080 - 2071	2070 - 2061	2051-2060	2041-2050	2031-2040	
ESTIMATED FUTURE REPLACEMENT COST	\$ 1,065,525	\$ 7,100,619	\$ 13,133,702	\$ 3,759,723	\$ 1,850,586	\$ 4,104,666	\$ 286,257	
PIPE LIFE SPAN ESTIMATED AT 90 YEARS								

# WATER DISTRIBUTION VALVE SUMMARY

CURRENT YEAR	2024		
DISTRIBUTIO	N VALE AGE SU	IMMARY	
DATE OF	NUMBER OF	AGE OF	PERCENT OF
INSTALLATION	VALVES	VALVE	TOTAL
1948	1	76	1%
1958	50	66	34%
1963	1	61	1%
1973	8	51	5%
1985	1	39	1%
1989	12	35	8%
1995	15	29	10%
1996	5	28	3%
2003	20	21	14%
2006	32	18	22%
2008	1	16	1%
TOTAL	146	40	100%

# **ESTIMATED REPLACEMENT COST OF VALVES**

VALVE SIZE	\$ PER VALVE	
2"	\$2,205	2" & 4" WILL BE
		REPLACED WITH 6"
4"	\$2,205	OR LARGER
6"	\$2,205	
8"	\$2,846	
10"	\$4,546	
12"	\$4,546	_
16"	\$13,100	

MOST LIKELY EXISTING 2" & 4" PIPE WILL BE

REPLACED WITH 6" OR GREATER DIAMETER PIPE

VALVE COST FROM MDOT 2023 Weighted Average Item Price Report

# **FIRE HYDRANT SUMMARY**

REPLACEMENT CO	OST PER HYDRANT	\$ 6,668						
AVERAGE YEARS O	F LIFE EXPENTANC	65						
CURRENT YEAR FIRE HYDRANT AG	2024 E SUMMARY						ANTICIPATED	PERCENT OF
DATE OF		AGE OF	PERCENT OF	VALUE OF		AGE OF	YEARS OF LIFE	LIFE
INSTALLATION	# HYDRANTS	HYDRANT	TOTAL	HYDRANTS		HYDRANTS	REMAINING	REMAINING
1958	14	66	10%	\$ 93,351		66	-1	-2%
1973	17	51	12%	\$ 113,168		51	14	22%
1989	21	35	15%	\$ 136,920		35	30	46%
1990	14	34	10%	\$ 95,771		34	31	48%
1994	1	30	1%	\$ 6,219		30	35	54%
1995	17	29	12%	\$ 111,480		29	36	55%
1996	3	- 28	2%	\$ 22,588	ĺ	28	37	57%
2003	20	21	14%	\$ 130,597	ĵ	21	44	68%
2006	25	18	18%	\$ 166,530		18	47	72%
2008	1	16	1%	\$ 7,463		16	49	75%
1979	6	45	4%	\$ 40,008		45	20	31%
Y	(030)							\
TOTAL	139		100%	\$ 924,096				
					AVERAGE	34	31	48%

2016

NAME OR NUMBER

WELL 1
130 DIVISION ST
1948
2015
8
55
750
YES

LIST COMPONENTS	ORIGINAL INSTALLATION YEAR
PUMP	2015
PUMP MOTOR -	1994 - REHABED
CONTROL PANEL	2006
ALARM SYSTEM	2006
SCADA	NONE
VALVES & PIPING	2006
BUILDING / HEAT / VENTILATION ETC	1948
ONSITE GENERATOR ? PORTABLE	1995

IS WELL PROFESSIONALLY SERVICED OR INSPECTED ON A REGULAR BASIC

# YES EVERY YEAR

WHAT COMPANY DOES THE INSPECTIONS

# NORTHERN PUMP & WELL

WAS A WELL INSPECTION REPORT USED TO COMPLETE THIS EVALUATION?

# YES

IS GENERATOR PROFESSIONALLY SERVICED THROUGH SOME TYPE OF SCHEDULED INSPECTION

### N/A

CITY OF GALESBURG 2024 NAME OR NUMBER ITEM DESCRIPTION WELL LOCATION / ADDRESS 130 DIVISION ST DATE DRILLED 1957 WELL DIAMETER GPM 750 REGULAR USE ORIGINAL INSTALLATION YEAR LIST COMPONENTS 2014 PUMP - REPLACED ? 2014 PUMP MOTOR - REPLACED **CONTROL PANEL** 2006 2006 **ALARM SYSTEM** 2014 BULDING / HEAT / VENTILATION ETC **PORTABLE ONSITE GENERATOR?** IS WELL PROFESSIONALLY SERVICED OR INSPECTED ON A REGULAR BASIC WHAT COMPANY DOES THE INSPECTIONS

WAS A WELL INSPECTION REPORT USED TO COMPLETE THIS EVALUATION?

IS GENERATOR PROFESSIONALLY SERVICED THROUGH SOME TYPE OF SCHEDULED INSPECTION

**NORTHERN WELL** 

YES

N/A

24

2024

# NAME OR NUMBER

ITEM DESCRIPTION	WATER TOWER	1 TOWER

LOCATION / ADDRESS	429 BURGESS DR					
LIST COMPONENTS		ORIGINAL INSTALLATION YEAR				
DA	TE ORIGINAL INSTALLATION	2006				
	LAST INTERIOR PAINT JOB	2006				
	LAST EXTERIOR PAINT JOB	2006				
	ALARM SYSTEM	2006				
	CONTROL PANEL	2006				
0	N SITE BACKUP GENERATOR	NO				
	CAPACITY, VOLUME	500,000				
	LAST INSPECTION DATE	2019				
	SCADA	A NONE				

IS TOWER(S) PROFESSIONALLY SERVICED THROUGH SOME TYPE OF SCHEDULED INSPECTION

# YES - EVERY 5 YRS

WHAT COMPANY DOES THE INSPECTIONS

# **NELSON TANK**

WAS A TOWER INSPECTION REPORT USED TO COMPLETE THIS EVALUATION?

# YES

IS GENERATOR PROFESSIONALLY SERVICED THROUGH SOME TYPE OF SCHEDULED INSPECTION

# N/A

### WATER DISTRIBUTION LINES / MAINS

CITY OF GALESBURG

CITY OF GALESBURG											1-G000	1-DACHECY	1 - INSIGNIFICANT							
2024											10 - UNUSEABLE	5 - DOLLY	5 - DISASTER							
STREET	TYPE OF PIPE	E PIPE SIZE	FEET OF PIPE	DATE OF INSTALLATION	AGE OF PIPE	USCFUL LIFE	REMAINING LIFE	REPLACE IN YEA		PERCENT CONSUMED	CONDITION	PROBABILITY OF FAILURE 1-5	CONSEQUENCE OF FAILURE 1-5	CRITICAL FACTOR		ER FOOT	REPLA!	CEMENT COST	DEPRE	CIATED VALUE
WASHINGTON ST FROM GRANT TO HAMILTON	DI	8	949	2023	1	90	89	2113	99%	1%	6	2	2	3	Ś	146	ŝ	138,554	\$	137,015
WELL HOUSE 1 & 2 - TO DIVISION	DI	8	949	2023	1	90	89	2113	99%	1%	6	2	2	3	\$	146	\$	138,554	s	137,015
35TH FRM BATTLE CREEK TO SOUTH CITY LIMITS	DI	12	600	2008	16	90	74	2098	82%	18%	2	1	2	2	\$	210		126,000	\$	103,600
35TH ST - FRM - W MICHIGAN TO BLAKE ST	DI	12	600	2006	18	90	72	2096	80%	20%	2	1	2	2	\$	210		126,000	\$	100,800
BECKWITH - FRM GRANT TO BURGESS DR	DI	12	2,770	2006	18	90	72	2096	80%	20%	2	1	2	2	\$	210	\$	581,700	\$	465,360
BLAKE BLVD - FRM 35TH TO GRANT ST	DI	8	1,300	2006	18	90	72	2096	80%	20%	2	1	2	2	\$	146	\$	189,800	\$	151,840
LIMITS	DI	12	3,063	2006	18	90	72	2096	80%	20%	2	1	4	4	\$	210	\$	643,230	\$	514,584
DIVISION ST - FRM WELL 1 TO BECKWITH	DI	12	960	2006	18	90	72	2096	80%	20%	2	1	2	2	\$	210	\$	201,600	\$	161,280
FULLERTON ST - FRM MICH TO BLAKE	DI	8	630	2006	18	90	72	2096	80%	20%	2	1	2	2	\$	146	\$	91,980	\$	73,584
GRANT ST UNDERNEATH RR TRACKS	DI	12	106	2006	18	90	72	2096	80%	20%	2	1	2	2	\$	210	Ś	22,260	\$	22,260
SHERMAN ST - FRM - MICHIGAN TO BLAKE	DI	8	630	2006	18	90	72	2096	80%	20%	2	1	2	2	\$	146		91,980	s	73,584
THOMAS ST - FRM MICHIGAN AVE TO BLAKE	DI	8	630	2006	18	90	72	2096	80%	20%	2	1	2	2	\$	146	\$	91,980	s	73,584
W. MICHIGAN AVE - GRANT TO 35TH	DI	12	1.300	2006	18	90	72	2096	80%	20%	2	1	2	2	Ś	210	Ś	273,000	s	218,400
WASHINGTON ST - FRM - DIVISION TO HAMILTON	DI	8	600	2006	18	90	72	2096	80%	20%	2	1	2	2	Ś	146		87,600	s	70,080
WATER TOWER TO BURGESS DR	DI	12	800	2006	18	90	72	2096	80%	20%	2	1	2	2	\$	210		168,000	\$	168,000
37TH ST - WEST 1000 FEET	DI	12	1,100	2003	21	90	69	2093	77%	23%	_ 3	1	2	3	Ś	210	\$	231,000	\$	177,100
AMBLING AVE	DI	8	1,700	2003	21	90	69	2093	77%	23%	3	1	2	3	Ś			248,200	s	190,287
EAST BATTLE CREEK - DRM MCCULLUM TO 37TH ST	DI	12	700	2003	21	90	69	2093	77%	23%	3	1	4	5	Ś	210		147,000	s	112,700
GALESBURG HIGH SCHOOL - AROUND BACK OF SCHOOL	DI	8	1.000	2003	21	90	69	2093	77%	23%	3	1	2	3	Ś	146		146,000	\$	111,933
CREEK	DI	8	1,400	2003	21	90	69	2093	77%	23%	3	1	2	3	Š	146		204,400	Š	156,707
NORTH 37TH ST - FRM - E BATTLE CREEK NORTH TO DEAD END	DI	12	4,400	2003	21	90	69	2093	77%	23%	3	1	2	3	Ś	210		924,000	s	708,400
SCHOOL - FRONT OF SCHOOL PARKING LOT	DI	8	200	2003	21	90	69	2093	77%	23%	3	1	4	5	Ś	146		29,200	s	22,387
MORHOUSE DR - FRM - 35TH GOING EAST	DI	8	1,280	1996	28	90	62	2086	69%	31%	4	2	2	4	Ś			186,880	\$	128,740
200 E MICHIGAN AVE - CITY HALL	DI	16	90	1995	29	90	61	2085	68%	32%	4	2	2	4	\$			18,000	\$	12,200
BURGESS DR - FRM - MICHIGAN AVE TO BATTLE CREEK	DI	8	792	1995	29	90	61	2085	68%	32%	4	2	2	4	Ś	146	S	115,632	\$	78,373
EAST BATTLE CREEK FROM MCCULLUM ST WEST 1700 FT	DI	12	1,700	1995	29	90	61	2085	68%	32%	4	2	2	4	Ś	210		357,000	s	241,967
ELMWOOD BLVD - FRM GANT TO DEAD END	D1	6	421	1995	29	90	61	2085	68%	32%	4	2	2	4	Ś	154		64,834	s	43,943
GRANT - FRM BLAKE TO MICHIGANAVE	DI	8	630	1995	29	90	61	2085	68%	32%	4	2	2	4	Ś			91,980	s	62,342
MCCULLEM - FRM MICHIGAN AVE TO EAST BATTLE CREEK	DI	12	2,730	1995	29	90	61	2085	68%	32%	4	2	2	4	\$	210	T	573,300	\$	388,570
MICHIGAN AVE FRM BURGESS OR TO MCCULLUM	DI	12	2,400	1995	29	90	61	2085	68%	32%	4	2	2	4	\$	210	\$	504,000	\$	341,600
MILL ST FRM - 200 FEET S OF BATTLE CREEK ST-	DI	6	200	1995	29	90	61	2085	68%	32%	4	2	2	4	\$	154	\$	30,800	\$	30,800
COMSTOCKSENIOR HOUSING -	DI	8	500	1994	30	90	60	2084	67%	33%	4	2	2	4	Ś	146	5	73,000	\$	48,667
BETHANN - FRM - NICHOLE TO ILENE	DI	6	300	1990	34	90	56	2080	62%	38%	4	2	2	4	Ś	154		46,200	s	28,747
BLAKE & NICHOLE CORNER - GOING NORTH	DI	8	200	1990	34	90		2080		100%	4	4	2	7	Š	146		29,200	\$	20,7 1.7
BLAKE BLVD - FRM NICHOLE TO CYNTHIA ST	DI	8	1,100	1990	34	90	56	2080	62%	38%	4	2	2	4	Ś	146		160,600	\$	99,929
CORNER BLAKE & NICHOLE TO SOUTH TO DEAD END SARAH	DI	8	1,900	1990	34	90	56	2080	62%	38%	4	2	2	4	\$	146		277,400	Š	172,604
CORTNY ST - FRMBLAKE TO SHADOW LANE	DI	6	1,600	1990	34	90	56	2080	62%	38%	4	2	2	4	\$	154		246,400	\$	153,316

### WATER DISTRIBUTION LINES / MAINS

CITY OF GALESBURG

2024

STREET	TYPE OF PIPE	PIPE SIZE	PEET OF	DATE OF	AGE OF PIPE	USEFUL UFE	REMAINING SIPE	REPLACE IN YEAR OF	N PENEENT OF LIFE LEFT	PERCENT CONSUMED	CONDITION	PROBABILITY OF FAILURE 1-S	CONSEQUENCE OF FAILURE 1-5	CRITICAL FACTOR 1-25	COST PER	POOT	REPLACEMENT COST	DEPI	RECIATED VALUE
ILENE - FRM BLAKE TO BETHANN ST	DI	6	500	1990	34	90	56	2080	62%	38%	4	2	2	4	\$	154	\$ 77,000	\$	47,911
MIAH FRM - MILDRED TO SARAH	DI	6	300	1990	34	90	56	2080	62%	38%	4	2	2	4	\$	154	\$ 46,200	\$	28,747
MILDRED ST - FRM SHADOW TO MIAH	DI	6	700	1990	34	90	56	2080	62%	38%	4	2	2	4	\$	154	\$ 107,800	\$	67,076
SHADOW LANE FRM SARAH TO CYNTHIA ST	D1	8	1,100	1990	34	90	56	2080	62%	38%	4	2	2	4	\$	146	\$ 160,600	\$	99,929
BLAKE FROM 35TH TO CYNTHIA	DI	8	1,000	1989	35	90	55	2079	61%	39%	4	2	2	4	\$	146	\$ 146,000	\$	89,222
CYNTHIA ST FRM BLAKE TO SHADOW LANE	DI	8	1,600	1989	35	90	55	2079	61%	39%	4	2	2	4	\$	146	\$ 233,600	\$	142,756
E. MICH AVE FROM BATTLE CREEK TO BURGES DR	Di	8	1,000	1989	35	90	55	2079	61%	39%	4	2	2	4	\$	146	\$ 146,000	\$	89,222
EAST BATTLE CREEK - FRM BURGESS DR EAST 1200 FT	DI	8	1,200	1989	35	90	55	2079	61%	39%	4	2	2	4	\$	146	\$ 175,200	\$	107,067
KIMBERLY ST - FRM BLAKE TO SHADOW LANE	DI	8	1,600	1989	35	90	55	2079	61%	39%	4	2	2	4	\$	146	\$ 233,600	\$	142,756
SHADOW LANE FROM 35TH TO CYNTHIA	DI	8	1,000	1989	35	90	55	2079	61%	39%	4	2	2	4	\$	146	\$ 146,000	\$	89,222
WOODLARK ST - FRM BLAKE ST TO SOUTH DEAD END	DI	8	2,000	1989	35	90	55	2079	61%	39%	4	2	2	4	\$	146	\$ 292,000	\$	178,444
35TH ST - FRM W MICHG NORTH TO CITY LIMITS	CI	10	4,250	1973	51	90	39	2063	43%	57%	5	3	4	11	\$	350	\$ 1,487,500	\$	644,583
EAGLE DR - FRM MCCULLUM ST GOING EAST TO PARK	DI	6	600	1973	51	90	39	2063	43%	57%	5	3	2	5	\$	154	\$ 92,400	\$	40,040
GALE AVE - FRM BURGESS DR TO EAST	CI	6	496	1973	51	90	39	2063	43%	57%	5	3	2	5	\$	154	\$ 76,384	\$	33,100
35TH FRM MICH TO BATTLE CREEK	CI	8	1,600	1958	66	90	24	2048	27%	73%	6	3	2	7	\$	146	\$ 233,600	\$	62,293
BATTLE CREEK - FRM 35 TO MICHIGAN AVE	CI	6	3,680	1958	66	90	24	2048	27%	73%	6	3	2	7	\$	154	\$ 566,720	\$	151,125
BATTLE CREEK - FRM MICHIGAN TO BURGESS DR	CI	6	1,200	1958	66	90	24	2048	27%	73%	6	3	2	7	\$	154	\$ 184,800	\$	49,280
CENTER ST - FRM BECKWITH TO WASHINGTON	CI	8	417	1958	66	90	24	2048	27%	73%	6	3	2	7	\$	146	\$ 60,882	\$	16,235
FULLERTON ST - FRM BATTLE CREEK TO W MICH	CI	6	1,955	1958	66	90	24	2048	27%	73%	6	3	2	7	\$	154	\$ 301,070	\$	80,285
GRANT FROM WASHINGTON TO BECKWITH	C!	8	400	1958	66	90	24	2048	27%	73%	6	3	2	7	\$	146	\$ 58,400	\$	58,400
GROVE - FRM BATTLE CREEK TO MICHIGAN AVE	Ct	6	764	1958	66	90	24	2048	27%	73%	6	3	2	7	\$	154	\$ 117,656	\$	31,375
HAMILTON - FROM WASHINGTON - HASTING	CI	8	413	1958	66	90	24	2048	27%	73%	6	3	2	7	\$	146	\$ 60,298	\$  \$	16,079
HASTINGS - FRM HAMILTON - DIVISION	CI	8	584	1958	66	90	24	2048	27%	73%	6	3	2	7	\$	146	\$ 85,264	\$	22,737
MAPLE - FRM DIVISION - BURGES	Cl	8	1,427	1958	66	90	24	2048	27%	73%	6	3	2	7	\$	146	\$ 208,342	1 \$	55,558
MICHIGAN AVE - FRM GRANT TO BATTLE CREEK	CI	6	1,600	1958	66	90	24	2048	27%	73%	6	3	2	7	\$	154	\$ 246,400	\$	65,707
MILL ST - FRM - BATTLE CREEK GOING SOUTH	CI	4	200	1948	76	90	14	2038	16%	84%	7	4	2	8	\$	154	\$ 30,800	\$	4,791
MILLS ST - GOING WEST TO DEAD END PARKING LOT	CI	4	100	1948	76	90	14	2038	16%	84%	7	4	2	8	\$	154	\$ 15,400	\$	2,396
NEW ST - FRM - BATTLE CREEK WEST TO DEAD END	CI	4	900	1948	76	90	14	2038	16%	84%	7	4	2	8	\$	154	\$ 138,600	\$	21,560
			0											0	\$	27	\$ 2		
V			74,81	6 1988			54		60%					0			\$ 13,405,78	\$	8,220,191

5,280

### VALVES FOR WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM

VALVES LOCATED IN TRAILER PARK CITY OF GALESBURG 1-6000 1-UNDERDA 1-INDICHIFICANT NOT COUNTED AS CITY ASSETS -2024 PRIVATELY OWNED 10-UNISEASES h- deby A Braden CONSEQUENCE OF CRITICAL FACTOR 1 REPLACEMENT COST ANNUAL INFO VALVE INFO CRITICAL FACTOR 1 AGE OF REPLACE IN YEAR WANTEN CONSTRUCTOR BACKUP PERCENT PROBABILITY OF FAILURE 1-S DEPRÉCIATED VALUE LOCATION 145-005-1 S 25 BY S CITY CIMITS 8 2008 16 80 80% 20% 2.0 5 2.846 \$36 \$ 569 \$2,276 010-020-1 BETTYOUTH & BURGESS 12 2006 18 RΠ 62 78% 23% 2.0 4,546 \$57 5 1,023 \$3,523 2066 010-020-4 BECKWITH & BURGESS 12 2006 18 80 62 78% 23% 2.0 \$ 4.546 \$57 \$ 1,023 \$3,523 BECKWITH & DIVISION 12 010-040-1 2006 80 62 78% 23% 2.0 4 546 \$57 \$ 1,023 Sit 5 \$3,523 BECKWITH A DIVISION 12 2006 18 62 010-040-2 78% 23% 2.0 2 S 4,546 \$57 \$ 1.023 1 2 \$3,523 010-065-2 RECEIVED & CRANT 12 2006 18 RΠ 62 78% 23% 2.0 4.546 \$57 \$ 1.023 \$3.523 12 62 78% 23% 010-065-3 BECKWITH & GRANT 2006 18 8n 2.0 2 \$ 4.546 \$57 \$ 1,023 \$3,523 010-130-1 BECKWITH & PEARL 12 2006 80 62 78% 23% 2.0 4,546 \$57 18 1 2 2 Š \$ 1.023 \$3 523 010-025-1 12 2006 78% 23% 4 546 18 80 62 2.0 \$57 \$ 1.023 1 7 2 S \$3,523 010-025-2 6 2006 62 78% 23% 2.0 18 2.205 \$28 \$ 496 5 \$1,709 015-055-1 WART & FULLBRION 8 2006 18 RO 62 78% 23% 2.0 2 2,846 \$36 \$ 640 \$2,205 015-055-2 SCAPE & FULLERITOR 2006 18 80 62 78% 23% 2.846 \$2,205 015-145-3 STARF & S BOTH 2006 18 RΠ 62 78% 23% 2.0 2,846 \$36 \$2,205 015-140-1 BLAKE & SHERWAY 2 2006 18 20 2080 62 78% 23% 2.0 Ś 2,846 \$36 640 \$2,205 8 2086 015-140-2 BLAKE & SHERMAN 2006 18 80 62 78% 23% 2.0 \$ 2.846 \$36 640 \$2,205 208 015-150-1 BLAKE & THOMAS 8 2006 80 78% 18 62 23% 2.0 2 \$ 2,846 \$36 Ŝ 640 \$2,205 015-150-2 BLAKE & THOMAS 62 23% 8 2006 18 80 78% 2.0 2 2.846 \$36 640 LANGERAPHA AFFECTED 1 2 S \$2,205 020-060- LIMITS - 1 BURGESS 264 BURGESS 12 2006 62 78% 23% 2.0 \$57 18 4.546 \$ 1.023 S \$3 523 BURGESS OR 1 12 2006 80 62 23% \$57 \$ 1.023 18 78% 2.0 1 3 \$ 4.546 \$3.523 BURGESS DR 2 BURGESS DE 2 12 2006 18 RO 62 78% 23% 2.0 4,546 \$57 \$ 1,023 \$3,523 HUNGESS DR 3 BURGESS DR. II 12 2006 18 80 2010 62 78% 23% 2.0 S 4,546 \$57 \$ 1,023 \$3,523 12 2006 18 2081 62 78% 23% 2.0 4 546 \$57 \$ 1.023 Ś \$3,523 BURGESS DR S BURCESCON S 12 2006 18 80 2086 78% 23% 2.0 4.546 \$57 \$ 1.023 \$3 523 Ś BURGESS DR 6 BURGESS DR 6 12 2006 18 80 2086 78% 23% 2.0 5 4,546 \$57 \$ 1,023 \$3,523 mindret nav auagres na s 12 2006 18 RO 2011 62 78% 23% 2.0 4.546 \$57 \$ 1,023 \$3,523 BURGEST DR B BURGESS DAR 12 2006 18 80 208 62 78% 23% 2.0 4,546 \$57 \$ 1,023 \$3,523 095-040-1 DIVISION & MAPLE 12 2006 18 80 208 62 78% 23% 2.0 5 4,546 \$57 1,023 \$3,523 203 095-040-2 DIVISION & MAPLE 8 2006 18 80 62 78% 23% 2.0 5 2,846 \$36 640 \$2,205 2086 ENGINE ECCHAPINIMENT 12 2006 18 RO 62 78% 23% 2.0 LANDLE AND A STREET, S 2 \$ 4,546 \$57 1,023 \$3,523 12 2006 18 80 2086 62 23% \$57 78% 2.0 2 Ŝ 4.546 \$ 1.023 \$3,523 085-040-1 12 2006 80 23% 18 62 78% 2.0 2 \$57 \$ 1.023 2 5 4 546 \$3,523 2080 165-040-1 WASHINGTON & DIVISION 2006 18 80 62 78% 23% 2.0 2.846 640 S \$36 \$2,205 2088 165-080-1 WASHINGTON & HAMILTON 2006 18 80 62 78% 23% 2.0 S 2,846 \$36 640 \$2,205 AMBING AVE 1 AMARING AVE 1 8 2003 21 90 2083 59 74% 26% 2.0 5 2,846 \$36 747 \$2,099 2083 AMBLING AVE 3 AMPLING AVE 2 2003 21 80 59 74% 26% 2.0 S 2.846 \$36 747 \$2,099 2083 AMBLING AVE I AMELING AVE 1 8 2003 21 RΠ 59 74% 26% 2.0 5 2.846 \$36 747 \$2,099 2083 8 80 26% AMBLING AVE 4 AMBLING AVE 4 2003 21 59 74% 2.0 2 \$ 2 846 \$36 5 747 \$2,099 AMBLING AVE S AMBLING AVES 8 2003 80 26% 21 74% 2.846 59 2.0 2 Š \$36 Ś 747 \$2,099 2083 AMBLING AVES AMERING AVE 6 2003 21 80 59 74% 26% 2.0 5 2,846 \$36 747 \$2,099 LOOP HIGH SCHOOL 1 LOOP HIGH SCHOOL I 8 2003 21 80 2.846 74% 26% S ŝ 59 2.0 PERSONAL SCHOOL \$36 747 \$2,099 LOCK HIGH SOMOOF S (ADEMINISTRADIC) 8 2003 21 80 59 74% 26% 2.0 2.846 \$36 747 \$2,099 LOOP HIGH SCHOOL T COOP HIGH SCHOOL S 8 2003 21 RΩ 2003 59 74% 26% 2.0 2,846 \$36 747 \$2,099 LOOP HIGH SCHOOL 4 TOOP HISH SCHOOL ( 8 2003 21 80 2083 59 74% 26% 2.0 \$ 2,846 \$36 747 \$2,099 2003 8 2003 21 59 74% 26% 2.0 \$36 \$ 2.846 747 \$2,099 LOOP HIGH SCHOOL & 200 74% 26% 8 2003 21 80 59 2.0 2.846 \$36 747 \$2,099 NORTH STIN ST 1 NORTH STTH ST 1 12 2003 21 80 2013 59 74% 26% 2.0 \$57 4.546 \$ 1,193 \$3,353 NORTH STIMST 2 NORTH 37TH ST 2 12 2003 21 80 200 59 74% 26% 2.0 4,546 \$57 \$ 1,193 \$3,353 12 2003 21 80 2083 59 74% 26% 2.0 5 4.546 \$57 \$ 1.193 2 \$3 353 NORTH 37TH ST 4 MORTH STREET & 12 2003 21 80 2003 59 74% 26% 2.0 \$57 2 Ś 4,546 \$ 1,193 \$3,353 HORTH TYPH ST S MUNICIPAL PARTIES. 12 2003 21 80 2088 59 74% 26% 2.0 Š 4,546 \$57 \$ 1,193 \$3,353 NORTH STIMST 6 NORTH STEW ST E 12 2003 21 74% 26% 2.0 2 4,546 \$57 \$ 1,193 1 2 ŝ \$3,353

### VALVES FOR WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM

CITY OF GALESBURG

VALVES LOCATED IN TRAILER PARK NOT COUNTED AS CITY ASSETS -

VALVE NO.  NOATH ETTH ST 2  NOATH ETTH ST 8  MOORESHOUSE OR VALVE 1  MOORESHOUSE OR VALVE 2	LOCATION NORTH STT 7	LINE SIZE	DATE OF INSTALLATION	AGE OF	USEFUL LIFE	HEPLACE IN				LO-UNUSEABLE			S-DREEK	\$ - 265451EN						
HORTH STITST 7  HORTH STITST 8  MOORESHOUSE DR VALVE 1  MOORESHOUSE DR VALVE 2		LINE SIZE	DATE OF		USEFULLIFE	Marie Committee														
MOORESHOUSE DRIVALVE 1 MOORESHOUSE DRIVALVE 2	NORTH 37TH ST 7			VALVE	YEARS	YEAR	REMAINING LIFE PI	ERCENT OF LIFE	PERCENT	CONDITION	WINTTEN CONSEQUENCE OF	BACKUP PERCENT REDUNDANCY	PROBABILITY OF	CONSEQUENCE OF	CRITICAL FACTORS	1. REPLACE	EMENT COST A	WNUAL	ACCUMULATES DEPENDATION	DEPRECIATED VAL
MOORESHOUSE DRIVALVE 1		12	2003	21	80	2083	59	74%	26%	2.0	LANGER AVEA AFFECTED	50	1	2	2	\$	4,546	\$57	\$ 1,193	42.252
OORESHOUSE OR VALVE 2	NORTH 37TH ST 8	12	2003	21	80	2081	59	74%	26%	2.0	LANDER AREA AFFECTED	50	1	2					n.c	\$3,353
	MODRESHOUSE DR VALVE 1	8	1996	28	80	2076	52	65%	35%	2.0	PAREDA WHEN WHECHED	50	1	2	2	\$	4,546 2,846	\$57 \$36	\$ 1,193	\$3,353 \$1.850
	MODRESHOUSE DR VALVE 2	8	1996	28	80	2074	52	65%	35%	2.0	AMORIANIA MINICTEO	SO	1	2	3	Ś	2.846	\$36	\$ 996	\$1,850
OORESHOUSE DR VALVE 3	MOORESHOUSE DRIVALVE 3	8	1996	28	80	2076	52	65%	35%	2.0	SAMBINAMEA APPECTED	50	1	2	3	Ś	2.846	\$36	\$ 996	\$1,850
OORESHOUSE DRIVALVE 4	MOORESHOUSE DRIVALVE 4	B	1996	28	80	2074	52	65%	35%	2.0	LANGERARIAM FECTES	50	-1	2	3	\$	2,846	\$36	\$ 996	\$1,850
C 3VJAV RD 32UOH23ROOM	MOORESHOUSE DRIVALVE 5	8	1996	28	80	2075	52	65%	35%	2.0	LANSER AND APPECTED	50	1	2	3	\$	2,846	\$36	\$ 996	\$1,850
110-020-105	30.0 BLX OF C MICH AVC	12	1995	29	80	2075	51	64%	36%	2.0	CANDER AND AFFECTED	50	1		0	Ś	4,546	\$57	\$ 1,648	\$2,898
005-020-3	BATTLE CREEK & BURGESS	8	1995	29	80	2075	51	64%	36%	2.0	FAMILY WICH WHICHED	50	1	2	3.	s	2,846	\$36	\$ 1,048	\$1,814
015-065-1	BLAKE & GRANT	8	1995	29	80	2075	51	64%	36%	2.0	WINDSAMEAMPLETED	50	1	2	3	\$	2,846	\$36	\$ 1,032	\$1,814
015-065-2	BLAKE & GRANT	8	1995	29	80	2075	51	64%	36%	2.0	LAMBIER AREA AN FECTED	50	1	2	3	\$	2,846	\$36	\$ 1.032	\$1.814
110-020-1	HUNGESS & E MICH	12	1995	29	80	2075	51	64%	36%	2.0	LANGER AREA AFFECTED	50	1	2	3	\$	4.546	\$57	\$ 1,648	\$2,898
110-105-1	E MICH & MECOLLUM	12	1995	29	80	2075	51	64%	36%	2.0	SARBES AREA APPICITO	50	1	2	3	\$	4,546	\$57	\$ 1,648	\$2,898
110-105-2	E MICH & MICCOLLUM	12	1995	29	80	2075	51	64%	36%	2.0	UNFORMICA MITECILIS	50	1	2	3	s	4,546	\$57	\$ 1,648	\$2,898
EAST BATTLE CREEK 1	EAST BATTLE CHEEK I	12	1995	29	80	2075	51	64%	36%	2.0	LAMBER AND AMPROTED	50	1	2	3	s	4.546	\$57	\$ 1,648	
	EAST BATTLE CHEEK 2	12	1995	29	80	3075	51	64%	36%	2.0	SAREES AREA AFFECTES	50	1	2	3	5	4,546	\$57	327	\$2,898
045-1	GRANT & ELMWOOD	8	1995	29	80	2075	51	64%	36%	2.0	MEDITABLAMICHO	50	1	8.23	77	100			\$ 1,648	\$2,898
MCCULLUM ST 1	MCCULLUM ST 1	12	1995	29	80	2075	51	64%	36%		LARBIC AND A MINISTER	- 355	-	2	3	\$	2,846	\$36	\$ 1,032	\$1,814
MCCULLUM ST 2	MCCULLUM ST 2	12	1995	29	80	2075	51	0 170		2.0	1-70-1700-1700	50	1	2	3	\$	4,546	\$57	\$ 1,648	\$2,898
MCCULLUM ST'3	MCCULLUM ST'3	12	1995	29		2075		64%	36%	2.0	LARGER WITH APPECTED	50	1	2	3	\$	4,546	\$57	\$ 1,648	\$2,898
MCCULLUM ST 4					80		51	64%	36%	2.0	CARGIN ANIA AFFECTED	50	1	2	3	\$	4,546	\$57	\$ 1,648	\$2,898
	MCCULLUM ST 4	12	1995	29	80	2075	51	64%	36%	2.0	SANSTER ANGA AN PROTECT	50	1	2	3	\$	4,546	\$57	\$ 1,648	\$2,898
110-065-3	W MICH & GRANT	B	1995	29	80	2075	51	64%	36%	2.0	LANGURANIA AFFICIED	50	1	2	3	\$	2,846	\$36	\$ 1,032	\$1.814
005-145-135-3	SHADOW LANE	8	1989	35	80	2009	45	56%	44%	4.0	LARGER AREA AFFECTED	50	2	2	á	5	2,846	\$36	797	
110-005-1	BATTLE CHEEK & FEARL	8	1989	35	80	2068	45	56%	44%	4.0	LANGES ASSA AFFECTES	50	2	2	2	s	2,846		\$ 1,245	\$1,601
010-020-2	RECKWITH & BURGESS	В	1989	35	80	2069	45	56%	44%	4.0	LANGEN ANIA AFFECTED	50	2.70	1970				\$36	\$ 1,245	\$1,601
015-090-1	BLAKE & RIMBERLY	8	1989	35	80	2009	45	56%	44%		LANGER AND ASPECTED		2	2	4	\$	2,846	\$36	\$ 1,245	\$1,601
015-145-1	BLAKE & S 35TH	В	1989	35	80	2060				4.0		50	2	2	4	\$	2,846	\$36	\$ 1,245	\$1,601
015-170-1	BLAKE & WOODLARK	8	1989	35		2068	45	56%	44%	4.0	LAMBER AREA AFFECTES	50	2	2	4	\$	2,846	\$36	\$ 1,245	\$1,601
BAST BATTLE CHEEK 1	EAST BATTLE CREEK 1	=			80		45	56%	44%	4.0	CHROLIN WATER MALABORITA	50	2	2	4	\$	2,846	\$36	\$ 1,245	\$1,601
		8	1989	35	80	2069	45	56%	44%	4.0	PARTIE MET M. MELLES	50	2	2	4	\$	2,846	\$36	\$ 1,245	\$1,601
EAST BATTLE CHEEK 2	EAST BATTLE CREEK 1	В	1989	35	80	2009	45	56%	44%	4.0	SMEETS AND ASSESSED.	50	2	2	4	S	2,846	\$36	\$ 1,245	\$1,601
135-90-1	SHADOW LANE & KIMBERLY	В	1989	35	80	2069	45	56%	44%	4.0	AAAGER AAEA AFFECTED	50	2	2	4	\$	2,846	\$36	\$ 1,245	\$1,601
135-90-2	SHADOW LANE & KIMBERLY	8	1989	35	80	3069	45	56%	44%	4.0	SANGERANIA AFFECTED	50	2	2	4	\$	2,846	\$36	\$ 1,245	\$1,601
13\$-90-3	SHADOW LANE & KIMBERLY	8	1989	35	80	2068	45	56%	44%	4.0	SARGER ANGA AN PECTED	50	2	2	4	Ś	2,846	\$36	\$ 1,245	\$1,601
140-015-110-1	WOODLARK & SHADOW LANE	8	1989	35	80	2049	45	56%	44%	4.0	LAPSER AREA AFFECTES	50	2	2	a.	\$	2,846	\$36	\$ 1,245	\$1,601
BLOCK 1	FIRE STATION SERVICE LINE	4	1985	39	80	2065	41	51%	49%	4.0	(ARGINANCA AFFECTES	50	2	2	4	Ś	2.205	\$28	\$ 1,075	
145-5-1	35TH FEEDINGNURSING HOME	4	1973	51	80	2051	29	36%	64%	6.0	PECOS MURSINIO HOME	50	3	3	9	Ś	2,205	\$28	100	\$1,130
145-1-1	3STH ST	10	1973	51	80	2053	29	36%	64%	6.0	PERSONAL PROGRAMM	50	3	3	9	*			\$ 1,406	\$799
145-2-1	13 HTZE	10	1973	51	80	2053	29	36%	64%	6.0	TITOS MUNICIPAL HOME	50	100	3	9	\$	4,546	\$57	\$ 2,898	\$1,648
145-2-2	3STH ST	10	1973	51	80	2053	29					50	3	200	- 8	\$	4,546	\$57	\$ 2,898	\$1,648
145-4-1	ээтнэт			51		2053		36%	64%	6.0	FEEDS MERSONS HOME		3	3	9	\$	4,546	\$57	\$ 2,898	\$1,648
145-3-1	DETH ST APARTMENTS	10	1973 1973		80	2013	29	36%	64%	6.0	REEDS MURSAND HOME	50	3	3	9	\$	4,546	\$57	\$ 2,898	\$1.648
	BURGESS & GAIL	6		51	80		29	36%	64%	6.0	PTEDS APT COMPLEX	50	3	3	9	\$	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,406	\$799
060-020-1		6	1973	51	80	2053	29	36%	64%	6.0	LARGEN ANIA AFFECTES	50	3	2	6	\$	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,406	\$799
105-005-110	CAGLE DRIVE	6	1973	51	80	2053	29	36%	64%	6.0	LARGER AND A PRICIED	50	3	2	6	5	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,406	\$799
130-010-110-1	NORMS PLACE	4	1963	61	80	2042	19	24%	76%	6.0	LANGER AREA AFFECTED	50	3	2	7	\$	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,681	\$524
120-187-1	187 NEW STREET	4	1958	66	80	2018	14	18%	83%	8.0	TANDAL WATER VALLED	50	4	2	8	5	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,819	\$386
120-187-2	188 NEWSTREET	4	1958	66	80	3038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LARGEN AREA AFFECTED	50	4	2	8	S	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,819	\$386
145-005-015-1	35THIN FRT OF 411	8	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	HEEDS MURSANG HOME	50	4	3	12	\$	2,846	\$36		
005-110-120-1	BATTLE CREEK & STOP&SHOP	6	1958	66	87	2045	21	24%	76%	6.0	(ARGER AND AFFECTED	50							\$ 2,348	\$498
005-145-135-1	SHADOW LANE	8	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LANGUE AND APPLICATED		3	2	7	\$	2,205	\$25	\$ 1,673	\$532
005-145-135-2	SHADOW LANE	6	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	TARGET AND AFFECTED	50 50	4	2 2	8	\$	2,846	\$36	\$ 2,348	\$498
005-020-1	DATTLE CREEK & BURGESS	8	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LAMBERANIA AFFICILD	50	4	2	8	\$	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,819	\$386
005-020-2	BATTLE CREEK & BURGESS	6	1958	66	80	2008	14	18%	83%	8.0	LANGERANIA AFFECTED	50	4	2 2	111	•	-,	\$36	\$ 2,348	\$498
005-020-4	DATTLE CREEK & BURGESS	8	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LANGEN AND APPECIED	50 50	4	2	8	\$	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,819	\$386
005-115-1	& PEARL	6	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LANGER AND APPLICITED	50	4	2	8	*	2,846	\$36	\$ 2,348	\$498
005-115-2	& PEARL	4	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LANGEN AND APPETED		572	7639	8	\$	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,819	\$386
005 0	revision)	.1100				2038					Separate Company of Clinical	50	4	2	8	\$	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,819	\$386
005-055-1	BATTLE CREEK & FULLERTON	6	1958	66	80		14	18%	83%	8.0	JANGENANSA AFFECTED	50	4	2	8	\$	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,819	\$386
005-055-2	BATTLE CREEK & FULLERTON	6	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	CATTER ANIA APPECTED	50	4	2	8	\$	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,819	\$386
005-075-1	BATTLE CREEK & GROVE	6	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LANGEN ANEA AFFECTED.	50	4	2	8	Ś	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,819	\$386
005-075-2	BATTLE CREEK & GROVE	6	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	IANGEN ANIA AFFECTED	50	4	2	8	s	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,819	\$386

### VALVES FOR WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM

CITY OF GALESBURG

2024

VALVES LOCATED IN TRAILER PARK NOT COUNTED AS CITY ASSETS -PRIVATELY OWNED

RAILER PARK 1-6000 3-WHILER PARK 1-6000 1-WHILER PARK 1-WHILER PARK 1-0000 1-WHILER PARK 1-WHILER PARK 1-0000 1-WH

VALVE NO.	LOCATION	LINE SIZE	DATE OF INSTALLATION	AGE DF VALVE	USEFUL LIFE YEARS	REPLACE IN YEAR	REMAINING LIFE YEARS	PERCENT OF LIFE	PERCENT CONSUMED	CONDITION	WHITTIN COMPOUNDED OF FACURE	BACKUP PERCENT REDUNDANCY	PROBABILITY OF FAILURE 1-5	CONSEQUENCE OF FAILURE 1-5	CRITICAL FACTOR 1	REPLACES		enual Preciation	ACCURALLANTS DEPACCATION	DEPRECIATED VALUE
005-075-3	BATTLE CREEK & GROVE	6	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	CARDERAREA AFFECTED	50	4	2	8	\$	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,819	5386
005-120-1	BATTLE CREEK & NEW	6	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	CARDER AREA AFFECTED	50	4	2	8	\$	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,819	\$386
005-120-3	BATTLE CREEK& NEW	6	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LANGERANIA AFFECTED	50	4	2	8	\$	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,819	\$386
110-005-2	BATTLE CREEK & PEARL	4	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	ANGERARCA ANTECTED	50	4	2	В	\$	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,819	\$386
005-155-1	BATTLE CREEK & TOLAND	6	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LARGER AREA AFFECTED	50	4	2	8	\$	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,819	\$386
010-020-3	BECKWITH & BURGESS	8	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LANGER AREA AFFECTED	50	4	2	8	\$	2,846	\$36	\$ 2,348	\$498
010-065-1	BECKWITH & GRANT	8	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LARGER AND AFFECTED	50	4	2	8	\$	2,846	\$36	\$ 2,348	\$498
015-055-3	BLAKE & FULLERTON	6	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	CARCER AREA AFFECTED	50	4	2	8	\$	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,819	\$386
015-075-1	BLAKE & GROVE	6	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LARGER AREA AFFECTED	50	4	2	8	\$	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,819	\$386
015-075-2	BLAKE & GROVE	6	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	DATISTIN ASIA AFTECTED	so	4	2	8	\$	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,819	\$386
015-145-2	DLAKE & S 3STH	8	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LARGER AREA APPECIED	50	4	2	8	\$	2,846	\$36	\$ 2,348	\$498
015-145-4	BLAKE & S3STH	8	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LARGER AREA AFFECTED	50	4	2	8	\$	2,846	\$36	\$ 2,348	\$498
005-055-155-1	BATLE CREEK & SCHOOL	6	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LARGER AREA AFFECTED	50	4	2	8	\$	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,819	\$386
165-025-1	CENTER & WASHINGTON	8	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LANGER AREA APPLETED	50	4	2	8	\$	2,846	\$36	\$ 2,348	\$498
165-025-2	CENTER & WASHINGTON	6	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	CATGER AREA AFFECTED	50	4	2	8	\$	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,819	\$386
055-005-015 -1	FULLERTON ST 118 FUITERTON	6	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LANGER AREA AFFECTED	50	4	2	8	\$	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,819	\$386
085-080-1	HASTING & HAMILTON	8	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LARGERANTA AFTECTED	50	4	2	8	\$	2,846	\$36	\$ 2,348	\$498
085-040-2	HASTINGS & DIVISION	8	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LARGEN AREA AFFECTED	50	4	2	8	\$	2,846	\$36	\$ 2,348	\$498
085-040-3	HASTINGS & DIVISION	10	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LANGER AREA AFFECTED	50	4	2	8	\$	4,546	\$57	\$ 3,750	\$796
085-040-4	HASTINGS & DIVISION	8	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LARGER AREA AFFECTED	50	4	2	8	\$	2,846	\$36	\$ 2,348	\$498
095-020-040	MAPLE ST & ILLICK CT	8	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LANGER AND ANY PETTED	50	4	2	8	\$	2,846	\$36	\$ 2,348	\$498
1151	MILL ST & DEAD END	4	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LARGUA AUGA AFTECTED	50	4	2	8	\$	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,819	\$386
040-1	TOWER	8	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	FEEDS FROM WELL	50	4	3	12	\$	2,846	\$36	\$ 2,348	
040-3	TOWER	10	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	FCCDS FROM WELL	50	4	3	12	\$	4,546	\$57	\$ 3,750	
040-4	TOWER	8	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	TEFOS FROM WILL	50	4	3	12	\$	2,846	\$36 \$28	\$ 2,348	
130-010-110-1	PEARL ST W MICH & GRANT	6	1958 1958	66 66	80 80	2038	14 14	18% 18%	83% 83%	8.0 8.0	LANGER AREA AFFECTED	50 50	4	2 2	8	5	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,819	
110-005-035-1	W MICHIGAN AVE DY MARKET	6	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LARGER ARLA AFFECTED	50	4	2	8	Ś	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,819	
165-065-2	WASHINGTON & GRANT	8	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LANCER AREA AFFFCTED	50	4	2	8	Ś	2,846	\$36	\$ 2,348	
165-065-3	WASHINGTON & GRANT	8	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LANGER AND AFFECTED	50	4	2	8	Ś	2.846	\$36	\$ 2,348	
165-080-2	WASHINGTON & HAMILTON	8	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LARGES ARIA ANTECTED	50	4	2	8	Ś	2.846	\$36	\$ 2,348	
165-080-4	WASHINGTON & HAMILTON	8	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LARGER AREA AFFECTTO	50	4	2	8	Ś	2,846	\$36	\$ 2,348	
105-005-110-1	WEST MICHIGAN & GROVE	6	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LARGERANIA AFFECTED	50	4	2	8	Ś	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,819	
105-005-110- 2	WEST MICHIGAN & GROVE	6	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LARGE VARIES AND PECTED	50	4	2	8	5	2,205	\$28	\$ 1,819	
105-005-110- 3	WEST MICHGAN & GROVE	6	1958	66	80	2038	14	18%	83%	8.0	LANGER AREA AFFECTED	50	4	2	8	Š	2.205	\$28	\$ 1,819	
005-120-2	BATTLE CREEX & NEW	4	1948	76	80	2028	4	5%	95%	8.0	LARGER AND A PECCTED	50	4	2	9	Ś	2.205	\$28	\$ 2,095	
TOTALS								50%	1	T						\$ 4		\$ 5,851	\$ 57,179	

NUMBER OF CURB STOPS	792
ESTIMATED AVERAGE COST PER STOP	\$1,063
TOTAL ESTIMATED VALUE CURB STOPS	\$841,896



City of Galesburg Michigan

Water System Asset Management Program

**Executive Summary** 

March 2024

This report contains the asset management program for the City of Galesburg's water system.

### Conclusion

The City has approximately \$986,838 dollars in the bank that belong to the water system.

The equipment replacement and rehabilitation annual funding levels are based on anticipated expenses for the equipment listed in the program. These anticipated expenses are based on the past maintenance history of this equipment. The better and more accurate the maintenance records are the more accurate and realistic the future anticipated replacement and rehabilitation cost will be. It is therefore recommended that the City continue to track the maintenance of the water system equipment and strive to improve the record keeping procedures. Better records equal a better asset management plan as well as increased reliability of the water system.

One of the purposes of an asset management program is to identify critical assets. One question to ask is "Do we have enough money in the bank to pay for the replacement of our most expensive critical asset if it failed tomorrow?" What if it failed in the same year that the most expensive maintenance project was taking place, (painting of the water storage tank)? While no community can predict tomorrow's unforeseen expenses it is important for a water system to be prepared for a "worst case scenario." It is better to have what might appear to be more money than necessary in the reserve accounts than not having enough money to make an emergency repair.

# Notes pertaining to this report.

Replacement money reserved annually for equipment replacement is calculated using the replacement cost divided by the life of the equipment. Not the years of life remaining. The current reserve funds are sufficient to make up the difference between the life of the equipment and the years remaining. The rehab money reserved annually is calculated using the rehab cost divided by the years between rehabilitations. Again, not the years until the next rehab. The current reserve funds are sufficient to make up the difference between "years between rehab" and years to next rehab.

If a piece of equipment is replaced or rehabbed every five years the program automatically calculates this cost into the budget every five years with a five percent annual cost of living added in for each year. This higher annual cost of living is higher than usual due to the unusually high inflation rates in recent years.

The list of assets included in this evaluation are as listed below.

Water mains

Water Storage Tower

Wells that produce the water

Valves located on the water mains

Fire hydrants located within the water distribution system

Maintenance cost of the existing equipment in the system

It includes everything excluding the land, or property in which the assets are located.

For the above listed assets we have assigned the following values

# WATER SYSTEM ASSETS ESTIMATED VALUE

TOTALS	\$18,068,001	53%
WELLS, STORAGE, METERS, CURB STOPS ETC	\$3,277,746	54%
FIRE HYDRANTS	\$924,096	48%
WATER DISTRIBUTION VALVES	\$447,239	50%
WATER DISTRIBUTION MAINS	\$13,418,920	59%
n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n	REPLACEMENT COST	AVERAGE PERCENT OF LIFE LEFT
	DEDI A CENAFAIT	AVED A CE DED CENT

A detailed list of these items is located within this report.

An asset management program also budgets for major maintenance expenses of the existing assets in the water system. Items that fall within this category would be the well pumps that pump water from the wells to the water system. The program budgets the rehabilitation of a well pump and the replacement of this pump with a new pump when the existing pump reaches the point of failure and rehabilitation is not an option.

These items are budgeted based on the past maintenance history of each item. Maintenance history is often supplemented with inspection reports from maintenance contractors.

Painting of the water tower would also fall into this major maintence category along with items like inspecting the water tower every five years. For example, a water tower is typically inspected every five years. If the cost is \$5,000, then this money is collected over a five-year period, so when the inspection takes place the money is sitting in the bank ready to pay for the inspection. Several other major

maintenance items also fall into this category by the fact that they occur on an irregular basis and not on an annual basis that would be part of an annual budget.

The following table summarizes the annual reserve funding as calculated in the rate analysis program.

TYPE OF RESERVE FUND	ANNUAL FUNDING	STARTING BALANCE IN SAVINGS
EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT 1	\$50,000	\$0
TOTAL MAINTENANCE RESERVE	\$50,000	\$0
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT SUMMARY	\$65,000	\$ -
TOTAL SYSTEM IMPROVEMENT RESERVE	\$65,000	\$0
TOTAL ANNUAL RESERVE	\$115,000	\$0

A detailed list of these items is located within this report.

As is indicated in the above table the program calculated that \$115,000 dollars per year could be put into the asset management program.

The capital improvement program was developed by the City's Engineer in 2024, some projects from the 2017 CIP that haven't been completed, as well as system deficiencies noted by City employees with the goal of saving a 5% - 10% down payment for most projects.

### **Critical Assets**

While every water system has critical assets some are more critical than others. The City of Galesburg has identified the following critical assets.

Well 1 - and the related operational components

Well 2 - and the related operational components

Portable Standby Generator

Water Tower

Chlorine system

Various valves and water mains that feed the school, nursing home, water tower and wells.

These are the critical components of the water system. Yet at the same time there is some redundancy that provides a safety net so to speak. Each of the Wells is capable of running the entire water system under normal conditions. Each could supply the community with enough water under normal conditions although not indefinitely. The City has only one water tower. While the SCADA system

operates the entire system each of the pumps can be operated by hand. Each of these major components have many smaller components that are critical to the operation of it. However, for the purposes of this report we did not get into the extreme detail such as listing a fuse which may cost two dollars. Yet without it a well pump could cease to function.

This report contains the five core components in an Asset Management Program:

- 1) Asset Inventory
- 2) Level of Service
- 3) Critical Assets
- 4) Capital Improvement Plan
- 5) Revenue Structure

It is an inventory and assessment of operations-related fixed assets that belong to the water system and the scheduled financial funding to maintain, and replace as needed, including the financial funding of a Capital Improvement Program. Fixed assets are assets that are normally stationary (e.g., pumps, blowers, and buildings). The inventory and assessment shall be based on current conditions.

# 1) Asset Inventory

The Asset Inventory included in this report contains the following information

- a) Brief description of the asset, its required capacity (e.g. pump: 120 gpm), level of redundancy for the asset.
- b) Location of the asset;
- c) Year the asset was installed;
- d) Present condition of the asset (e.g. excellent, good, fair, poor);
- e) Current asset (replacement) costs;
- f) A "Business Risk Evaluation" that combines the probability of failure of the asset and criticality of the asset, as follows in (1)-(3):
  - (1) Rate the probability of failure of the asset on a scale of 1-5 (low to high) using criteria such as maintenance history, failure history, and remaining percentage of useful life (or years remaining);
  - (2) Rate the criticality of the asset on a scale of 1-5 (low to high) based on the consequence of failure versus the desired level of service for the facility; and
  - (3) Compute the Business Risk factor of the asset by multiplying the failure rating from (1) by the criticality rating from (2) "plus" +  $((1 \% \text{ Percent Redundancy Backup}) \times 10)$

# g) CRITICALITY Consequence of Failure

At the current time this is the rating system being used for "Criticality, Consequence of Failure" which comes from the MDEQ NPDES Wastewater Permit Asset Management Requirements.

Rating	Description	% Affected		Level
1	Minor Component Failure	0-25%		Asset
2	Major Component Failure	25-50%		Asset
3	Major Asset	0-25%		Asset
4	Multiple Asset Failure	25-50%		Facility / Sub-System
5	Major Facility Failure	50-100%	Facility	

### **EXAMPLE:**

If a "well pump" were to fail, the entire well is out of service, leaving the remaining wells to supply water. This could be listed as a # 3-5 depending on how many wells are needed for system demands.

If a single pump in a two-pump lift station were to fail this would most likely be a 2-3 as there is still one pump left and working.

If the control panel in a two-pump lift station failed and the entire pump station were down this would be a 4-5 rating

h) Probability of Failure (POF): FOR WATER MAINS AND VALVES

For this report Probability of Failure for water mains, and water valves is calculated by taking the average of:

Percent of life consumed (on a 1-5 scale)

Condition of item (on a 1-5 scale)

All items were converted to a 1-5 scale.

For all other items in this report the Probability of Failure is calculated by taking the average of the Percent of life consumed (on a 1-5 scale)

Percent of life until next rehab (on a 1-5 scale)

Condition of item (on a 1-5 scale)

All items were converted to a 1-5 scale.

The POF can be set using the formula listed above or can be set manually using the guidelines below.

Per EGLE Guidelines - Probability of failure is rated as follows.

Imminent	5	Likely to occur in the life of the item	Continuously experienced
Probable	4	Will occur several times in the life of an item	Will occur frequently
Occasional	3	Likely to occur sometime in the life of an item	Will occur a few times
Remote	2	Unlikely but possible to occur in the life of an item	Unlikely, but can reasonably be expected to occur
Improbable	1	So unlikely, it can be assumed occurrence may not be experienced	Unlikely to occur, but possible

The following is a quote from the EGLE Asset Management Guide.

To determine the probability of failure a utility needs to look at a number of factors: asset age, condition of asset, failure history, historical knowledge, experiences with that type of asset in general, maintenance records, and knowledge regarding how that type of asset is likely to fail. Below is an example of a ranking system for probability of failure

# 2) Level of Service (LOS)

Level of Service (LOS) defines the way in which the utility stakeholders want the utility to perform over the long term. The LOS plan was completed for the City of Galesburg and should become a fundamental part of how the utility is operated, through the setting of practical goals for the City's water system.

# 3) Critical Assets

Critical Assets are those items within a community water system in which the water system would be difficult if not sometimes impossible to operate without. Such items could include a well, especially if it is the largest producing well and without it the remaining wells would struggle if not fail to supply enough water during high demand periods. This situation would put the water system in a "critical" situation in not only supplying drinking water but jeopardize the ability to fight a fire with City water. Another Critical Asset item might be a standby generator. Failure of the only alternative power source during a power outage could leave the City with no means of producing additional water. This situation would also put the water system in a "critical" situation for a variety of reasons.

This report identifies those assets that are critical to the operation of the water system, and the ability to provide safe quality drinking water to the residents of the community.

# 4) Capital Improvement Plan

A long-term Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) should look at the utility's needs for the future. Ideally, a planning period would be at least 20 years, with a minimum of 5 years. It is understood that the specific expenditures and needs of the utility in the latter years, say 15 to 20 years, are more speculative than the needs for the first 5 to 10 years, particularly the first 5 years. However, the inclusion of the needs for this longer time period will provide a better opportunity for the water system to plan for its capital

needs. Capital improvement projects are projects that the utility has an extended period of time to plan for and are projects that usually cover high cost, non-recurring items.

The Capital Improvement Fund is funded on an annual basis and the accumulated Capital Improvement Fund monies can be used to supplement bonding for the particular project, act as a down payment or cover the entire cost of the project as determined by the utility.

# 5) Revenue Structure

The Revenue Structure of this report contains the following items;

- a) OM&R Budget and Rate Evaluation for the entire water system.
- b) Amount in the replacement fund for current year and anticipated amount for upcoming years.
- c) Replacement fund of all assets with a useful life of 25 years or less.
- d) Expenditures for maintenance, corrective action, and capital improvements.
- e) Rate calculation demonstrating sufficient revenues to cover OM&R expenses.

Replacement money reserved annually is calculated using the replacement cost divided by the life of the equipment. The rehab money reserved annually is calculated using the rehab cost divided by the years between rehabilitations. The current reserve funds are sufficient to make up the difference between life of the equipment and years remaining. The anticipated annual replacement and rehabilitation expenditures have a two percent cost of living added in for each year.